

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,524	09/08/2003	Daryl S. Meredith	TN-3481	9167
7:	590 07/13/2005		EXAMINER	
Adan Ayala, Esq. Black & Decker Inc.			DEXTER, CLARK F	
TW-199	i inc.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
701 E. Joppa Road			3724	
Towson, MD	21286		DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			Tath
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/657,524	MEREDITH, DARYL S.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Clark F. Dexter	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tte, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		·	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	May 2005.		
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		·	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application	l.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-5</u> is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 6-9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		•
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 26 January 2004 is/ar		hierted to by the Examina	er
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	• •	•	JI.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			ER 1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	,	•	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<u> </u>	un najority under 25 U.S.C.:	S 110(a) (d) or (f)	
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 		3 119(a)-(d) or (i).	
<u> </u>		Application No	
<u> </u>		· ·	Stogo
 Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure 	·	received in this National	Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received	,
Coo and disconde detailed Office action for a list	s. o. the columns copies hot		
Attachment(e)		•	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/2/04</u>. 	5)	nformal Patent Application (PTC 	⊢152)

Application/Control Number: 10/657,524

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II (claims 1 and 6-9) in the reply filed on May 5, 2005 is acknowledged. Claims 2-5 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

Drawings

2. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3724

4. Claims 1 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by European Publication 0 752 300 (EP '300).

EP '300 discloses a saw with every structural limitation of the claimed invention including a base (e.g., 12), a saw assembly including a blade (e.g., 16), and a fence assembly attached to the base, the fence assembly comprising a fixed fence (e.g., the front portion of 48) a movable fence (e.g., 32) defining a first support plane (e.g., at 67), and an auxiliary fence (e.g., the rear portion of 48 and/or 79) which defines a second support plane (e.g., which supports-in-part the movable fence) substantially parallel to the first support plane, wherein the fixed fence has a support surface (e.g., at 107) that is substantially parallel to an upper surface of the base, substantially perpendicular to the first support plane, and wherein the first fence has a surface (e.g., 63) which is substantially coplanar with the first support plane.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al., pn 4,869,142.

Sato discloses a saw (e.g., see Fig. 9) with almost every structural limitation of the claimed invention including a fixed fence (e.g., 3) and an auxiliary fence (e.g., 37),

Art Unit: 3724

but lacks a movable fence. However, the Examiner takes Official notice that such movable fences are old and well known in the art and provide various well known benefits including configuring the fence assembly to correspond to a particular work piece and/or cutting operation. O'Banion et al. discloses one example of such a fence assembly configuration. It is noted that claims 6-9 are met by either Sato or Sato modified by the known prior art including O'Banion. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a movable fence on the fence assembly of Sato (e.g., by replacing fence 3 with a fence assembly such as that disclosed by O'Banion) for the well known benefits including those described above.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark F. Dexter whose telephone number is (571)272-4505. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, Thursdays and Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on (571)272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,524 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd June 27, 2005